# EPHE 591: Biomedical Statistics 

Bayesian Statistics: Bayes Factors

```
EPIDEMIOLOGY
```

Evaluation of breast cancer service screening programme with a Bayesian approach: mortality analysis in a Finnish region


Anyone for bayesian integration?

A Boosted Bayesian Multiresolution Classifier for Prostate Cancer Detection From Digitized Needle Biopsies

## Bayesian Model Combination and Its Application to Cervical Cancer Detection

Miriam Martínez, Luis Enrique Sucar, Hector Gabriel Acosta, Nicandro Cruz

## More Problems with P

Frequentist Approach
p(Data/Ho)
"How likely are the data if we assume the null hypothesis is true"

Bayesian Approach
p(Ho/Data)
"How likely is the null hypothesis given the data"

The $p$ - value does not answer $p$ (Ho/Data), even though a lot of people think it does.
p(pregnant/female) versus p(female/pregnant)

How viable is our hypothesis given our data?


## Frequentist Approach



THIS IS CLEARLY WRONG

## Bayesian Approach



Rev. T. Bayes [ 1707-1761]



Bayesian Logic would compute the odds of the next flip being heads as $50 \%$

## Bayesian methods generate conclusions using statistics generated from the data.



## Using Bayesian Statistics: <br> The Bayes Factor

## The Bayes Factor

$\frac{p(\text { Ho/Data })}{p(H 1 / \text { Data })}=\frac{p(\text { Data } / \mathrm{Ho})}{p(\text { Data } / \mathrm{H} 1)} \times \frac{p(\mathrm{Ho})}{p(\mathrm{H} 1)}$
posterior odds

Bayes
Factor odds

Typically, we assume the prior odds the same both hypotheses are equally likely so that terms goes to 1.

## The Bayes Factor

The Bayes Factor reflects a change in the prior odds based on the data.

Essentially, it will tell you the relative likelihood of Ho relative to H 1 .

Because the prior odds are 1, the Bayes Factor becomes the posterior odds.

## Bayes Factor Logic

Prior
$\mathrm{Ho}=\mathrm{H} 1$
Both hypotheses are equally likely

Bayes Factor
Collect some data, compute Bayes Factor

Posterior
Determine likelihood of Ho relative to H1

## Example

Let's say you are going to flip a coin 20 times. You have begun to think you are really good at calling heads, so you think you can do better than chance.

| Ho | $\mathrm{pr}=0.5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| H 1 | $\mathrm{pr}=0.7$ |

NOTE! This is our PRIOR. Or, our PRIOR ODDS.

So, you flip the coin 20 times and get 10 heads.


## Computing the Bayes Factor

$$
\mathrm{BF}_{01}=\frac{\mathrm{p}(\text { Data } / \mathrm{Ho})}{\mathrm{p}(\text { Data } / \mathrm{H} 1)}=0.17620 / 0.03082=5.717
$$

How do we interpret this?

Ho is 5.717 times more likely than H 1

## But what if our prior is not a constant value?

Let's take the same example, but assume that H 1 just means better than chance ( $\mathrm{pr}=0.6,0.7,0.8,0.9$ ). So, in the first example the prior was $\mathrm{pr}=0.6$ but what do we do if it is a range of numbers? We use a DISTRIBUTION.


## But what if our prior is not a constant value?

Computing the Bayes Factor is more tricky.

You need to know p(Data/H1) for each value of pr.

Recall, the DATA - the data was 10 heads out of 20 flips


## Computing the Bayes Factor

$\mathrm{P}($ Data $/ \mathrm{H} 1)=0.117$ * $0.25+0.031$ * $0.25+$ 0.002 * $0.25+0.000$ * 0.25 $P($ Data $/ H 1)=0.0375$<br>$\mathrm{P}($ Data $/ \mathrm{Ho})=0.1762$ (as before)

Bayes Factor $=0.1762 / 0.0375=4.699$

Ho is 4.699 times more likely than H 1 given the data.

## But...

What about $\mathrm{pr}=0.67$, $\mathrm{pr}=0.73$, etc...
We use distributions as priors as opposed to numbers. For example:


## Prior Distributions

Comparison of Potential Beta Priors


## Interpreting the Bayes Factor

| Statistic |  | Support for $\mathbf{H}_{1}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bayes Factor | Inverse of Bayes Factor | Raftery | Jeffreys |
| $1-.33$ | $1-3$ | Weak | Anecdotal |
| $.33-.10$ | $3-10$ | Positive | Substantial |
| $.10-.05$ | $10-20$ | Positive | Strong |
| $.05-.03$ | $20-30$ | Strong | Strong |
| $.03-.01$ | $30-100$ | Strong | Very Strong |
| $.01-.0067$ | $100-150$ | Strong | Decisive |
| $<.0067$ | $>150$ | Very Strong | Decisive |

## Other Thoughts

Your choice of prior matters (sometimes)

JASP will give you $B F_{10}$ not $B F_{01}$ (the inverse)

Some stats programs give you the $\operatorname{Ln}(B F)$

## Credit

## Credit to Mike Masson for all of this material and information!

